UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,078 06/30/2005		Hermann Bodinger	4001-1190	8279
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 04/21/200 OMPSON	EXAMINER		
209 Madison St		KOSLOW, CAROL M		
Suite 500 ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/516,078	BODINGER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
C. Melissa Koslow	1793	

-The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - THE REPLY FILED 14 abril 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 cFR 1.134, The reply must be filed within one of the following replication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 cFR 1.134, The reply must be filed within one of the following replication; (2) as the period for reply expires			C. Welloca Problevy	1700
1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandomment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidiarly, or other evidence, with places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: □ The period for reply expires		The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY STILLY	THE RE	PLY FILED <u>14 April 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LLOWANCE.
b)	app app for	olication, applicant must timely file one of the following olication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
no event, however, will the statutory period for roply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Exeminer Note: If the X. is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filed a proper received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, or (2) as the correct of the final rejection and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (d) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (e) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (e) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (f) They proposed an additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CF	a) 🔲	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL. 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on	b) 🔀	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). Д The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the objection to the disclosure under 35 USC 132(a). 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFIDAUT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidenc	have beer under 37 (set forth ir may reduc	s of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date in filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extending the period of extending the scale of the standard from: (1) the expiration date of the standard from in the control of the standard from in the control of the standard from in the control of	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS 3.			liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	filed within two months of the date of
(a)	filin No	g the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extertice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
(c)	(a)	They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NOT	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4.	(c)	They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec	
 5.		NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8.				
non-allowable claim(s). 7.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	nor	n-allowable claim(s).		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). (C. Melissa Koslow/	hov The Cla Cla Cla	w the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: iim(s) allowed: iim(s) objected to: iim(s) rejected: 1-20.		
 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:	8. 🔲 The	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu cause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other: /C. Melissa Koslow/	ent sho	ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o wing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea , and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails to provide a ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other: /C. Melissa Koslow/		·	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
13. ☐ Other: /C. Melissa Koslow/	<u>S</u>	ee Continuation Sheet.	,	condition for allowance because:
		, , ,	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
			/C. Melissa Koslow/	
,, ,				nit 1793

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are not convincing. With respect to the arguments over the objection to the disclosure and the 35 USC 112, second paragraph rejection, the argued paragraph is for the embodiment where x+y+z=1. The wording in the specification indicates that this argued embodiment is not the only embodiment applicants consider as the invention. The objection and rejection is that that the upper limits for x and y for the other discussed embodiments is not defined. Applicants do not address the upper limits for these other embodiments. Thus the arguments are not convincing. With respect to 35 USC 112, first paragraph rejection, lines 8-10 in the argued paragraph refer to the silver/palladium alloy discussed in lines 7 and 8, not to all the alloys encompassed by the compositions of lines 4 and 5. Thus applicants' argument that the claims are clearly and fully supported by the specification is not convincing. Nowhere in the argued paragraph is there a teaching that a must be greater than 0. The fact the amount of lead can be set to 1-a does not support the added limitation that a is greater than 0. As discussed in the Final rejection, the fact lead is present means a cannot equal to 1. It does not mean that a must be greater than 1. The discussion in the Final rejection presented the Examiner's reasons why the original disclosure does not convey to one of ordinary skill in the art that a is greater than 0. The arguments are not convincing. The amendment to claim 1 does not overcome the 35 USC 112, second paragraph rejection since it does not define the numerical values of b and c, which is the basis of rejection. The amendments to claims 7 and 9 do not overcome the 35 USC 112, second paragraph rejection since the actual method of making the composition is still missing. The fact the relationship between the sintering temperature and grain growth is discussed in the specification does not teach the steps missing from the claims. Applicants did not address the rejection of the second paragraph on page 5.